

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

|                     |                           |                        |                        | •                |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| APPLICATION NO.     | FILING DATE               | . FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | . ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.  | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| 10/801,217          | 03/15/2004                | Akihiro Maczawa        | KOY-0037               | 3115             |
| 23413               | 7590 06/21/2005           |                        | EXAM                   | INER             |
| CANTOR COLBURN, LLP |                           |                        | SCHILLING, RICHARD L   |                  |
|                     | ROAD SOUTH<br>D. CT 06002 |                        | ART UNIT               | PAPER NUMBER     |
| 2200                | <b>-,</b>                 |                        | 1752                   |                  |
|                     |                           |                        | DATE MAILED: 06/21/200 | 5                |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No. Applicant(s)   |
|---|--|
|   |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner Group Art Unit  |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   | Examiner Group Art Onit  |
|   | TRESCHILLAGI 1732  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap   | pears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—   |
| Period for Reply  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE<br>OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  | ET TO EXPIREMONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE   |
| from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by determine the such period shall, by determine the such period shall, by determine the such period shall.   | FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. fault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status  |  |
| ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on   | ·  |
| ☐ This action is FINAL.   |  |
| <ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance excaccordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle,</li> </ul>   | cept for formal matters, <b>prosecution as to the merits is closed</b> in 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.   |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |
| ☑ Claim(s)  | is/are pending in the application.   |
|   | is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |
| • •   |  |
| ☐ Claim(s) /-5  | is/are rejected.   |
|   | is/are objected to.  |
| Claim(s)  |  |
| • •   |  |
| □ Claim(s)  | are subject to restriction or election requirement.  |
| □ Claim(s)  Application Papers  | are subject to restriction or election requirement.  |
| □ Claim(s)  Application Papers  □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draf | are subject to restriction or election requirement.  |
| □ Claim(s)  Application Papers  □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draf | are subject to restriction or election requirement.  |
| □ Claim(s)  Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on 3-15-0-4 is/are elements.   | are subject to restriction or election requirement.  |
| ☐ Claim(s)  Application Papers  ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 3-15-0- is/are of the specification is objected to by the Examiner.  | are subject to restriction or election requirement.  awing Review, PTO-948.  is approved disapproved.  |
| □ Claim(s)  Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on 3-15-0-1 is/are of □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine  | are subject to restriction or election requirement.  awing Review, PTO-948.  is approved disapproved.  |
| ☐ Claim(s)  Application Papers  ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 3 15 0 is/are of i | are subject to restriction or election requirement.  awing Review, PTO-948.  is approved disapproved.  accorded by the Examiner.   |
| □ Claim(s)  Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on 3-15-0-1 is/are of □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine  | are subject to restriction or election requirement.  awing Review, PTO-948.  is approved disapproved.  bjectod to by the Examiner.  er.  ty under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).   |
| □ Claim(s)  Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on 3 15 0 is/are of is | are subject to restriction or election requirement.  awing Review, PTO-948.  is approved disapproved.  bjectod to by the Examiner.  er.  ty under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). s of the priority documents have been   |
| Application Papers  □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on 3-15-0-1 is/are of □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority in the CERTIFIED copies. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  | are subject to restriction or election requirement.  awing Review, PTO-948.  is approved disapproved.  bjected to by the Examiner.  er.  ty under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). s of the priority documents have been   |
| □ Claim(s)  Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on 3-15-0- is/are of □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.   | are subject to restriction or election requirement.  awing Review, PTO-948.  is approved disapproved.  bjected to by the Examiner.  er.  ty under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). s of the priority documents have been  umber)  the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).  |
| □ Claim(s)  Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on 3-15-0-1 is/are of □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  | are subject to restriction or election requirement.  awing Review, PTO-948.  is approved disapproved.  bjected to by the Examiner.  er.  ty under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). s of the priority documents have been  umber)  the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).  |
| □ Claim(s)  Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on 3-15-0-1 is/are of □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  | are subject to restriction or election requirement.  awing Review, PTO-948.  is approved disapproved.  bipeted to by the Examiner.  er.  ty under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). s of the priority documents have been  umber) International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).   |
| □ Claim(s)  Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on 3 15 0 is/are of □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority in the compact of the CERTIFIED copies. □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial No. □ received in this national stage application from the *Certified copies not received:  Attachment(s)  | are subject to restriction or election requirement.  awing Review, PTO-948.  is approved disapproved.  bipeted to by the Examiner.  er.  ty under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). s of the priority documents have been  umber) International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).   |

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Art Unit 1752

- 1. Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under the first and second paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 112 as being based on insufficient disclosure and as being indefinite. Claim 4 appears to be incomplete. How a panel can be made without coating the heated phosphor material onto a support is indefinite. Also, the specification fails to show one skilled in the art how to make panels without coating the phosphor materials onto a support.
- 2. Claims 1, 4 and 5 are rejected under the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112 as being based on insufficient disclosure. The specification fails to show one skilled in the art how to make and use conversion panels with the required strength ratios of instant claim 1 other than those comprising phosphor materials of alkali halide with activators as set forth by Formula 1 of instant claim 2. The required strength ratios made by heating at 400 to 700°C for 1 to 3 hours in a specific vacuum followed by cooling and then reheating. The luminescent properties of these phosphors would depend on its composition as well as heating temperatures during vacuum. The specification does not disclose heat treatments of phosphor materials other than those of instant claim 2 in order to obtain the particular strength ratios required by instant claim 1.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the

Serial No. 10/801,217

Art Unit 1752

rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -(b) the invention was patented or described in a
printed publication in this or a foreign country or in
public use or on sale in this country, more than one
year prior to the date of application for patent in the
United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Hosoi '974. Hosoi '974 (see particularly Example 1) discloses making phosphors by heating raw phosphors at 525°C for 2 hours followed by cooling and then reheating at 150°C to degas. The phosphor used is CsBrEu which is used in applicants' working Examples as set forth in instant claim 3. The panels formed in Hosoi, being of the same composition as in applicants' working Examples and formed by heating, cooling and reheating, would

Art Unit 1752

inherently have the strength ratios required by instant claim 1.

- 4. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Iwabuchi et al. Iwabuchi et al. (see particularly paragraphs 28, 29, 40, 108; Examples 1-5 and 7) disclose forming phosphors by heating CsBrEu at preferably 525°C for .5-5 hours. In Examples 1-5 the phosphors are heated at 525°C for 1 hour followed by cooling with new gas and then reheating to 525° for 1 hour. In Example 7, after heating at 525° for 2 hours, the product is cooled and then reheated at 150°C.
- 5. The prior art submitted by applicants has been considered.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Mr. Schilling at telephone number (571) 272-1335.

RLSchilling:cdc

June 14, 2005

RICHARD L. SCHILLING PRIMARY EXAMINER

GROUP 1100